

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/067,418	02/07/2002	Jean-Louis Gouret	219195US6	7880	
22850 75	590 02/25/2003				
•	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	0 DUKE STREET EXANDRIA, VA 22314		PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003	i	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			59
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/067418		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet be	eneath th co	orrespondence address –
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory mir fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr statute, cause the application	nimum of thirty (3 om the mailing d to become ABAN	30) days will be considered timely. late of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
A Responsive to communication(s) filed on2	11/03		
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ept for formal matters, pro 935 C.D. 1 1: 453 O.G. 213	secution as t	o the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims	•		
(X Claim(s) 1 - 2		is/are p	ending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.
☐ Clạim(s)		is/are a	illowed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are n	ejected.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are o	bjected to.
▼ Claim(s) 1-2			
Application Papers		require	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapprove	æd.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	jected to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	n received.		
$\hfill \Box$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	n received in Application N	ło	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received		

*Certified copies not received: _

lta	hment(s)	
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	☐ Intervi w Summary, PTO-413
	Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152
	Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Oth r

in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Application/Control Number: 10/067418

Art Unit: 1762

Election/Restriction

The previous restriction requirement is withdrawn, and replaced by the following to correct an apparent error.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7,21, drawn to masking method, classified in class 427, subclass 282.
 - II. Claims 8-18, drawn to masking strip, classified in class 428, subclass 343.
- III. Claims 19-20, drawn to masking strip manufacturing method, classified in class subclass 60.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in another and materially different process of use (1) for other than painting, such as masking surfaces against particle blasting, chemical solutions, washing, etc or (2) for attaching together pieces of paper, cardboard, etc or (3) for making decorative designs of elongate cellular material adhesively attached to a surface.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

Äpplication/Control Number: 10/067418 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to manufacture another and materially different product, such as adhesively backed colored decorative strips or adhesive-backed foamed insulating strips.

- Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions represent two different methods which have different modes of operation. Further, method I merely requires an adhesive masking strip of an elongate cellular material with a groove 45 degrees or less, which can be any adhesive coated strip, whereas the method of making the strip of method III requires very specific forming of a masking strip from cellular blocks by controlled expansion, followed by cutting, machining, adhesive deposition, etc.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Tom Pous on 2/24/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made because a written restriction was requested.

Application/Control Number: 10/067418

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred J. Parker whose telephone number is (703) 308-3474.

Fred J. Parker

FRED J. PARKER
PRIMARY EXAMINED

February 24, 2003

r10-067418